


General public survey results 18-03-2021

Evidence to decision framework survey

March 18, 2021 7:57 PM GMT

Q1 - Please describe your role:




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Please describe your role:	1.00	5.00	3.19	1.81	3.26	166

#	Field	Choice	Count
1	Health or allied health worker	35.54%	59
2	Patient (had covid)	3.01%	5
3	Family of covid patient	14.46%	24
4	Policy/decision maker	1.20%	2
5	Other	45.78%	76
			166

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q2 - How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects of ivermectin compared with no ivermectin?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How substantial are the desirable anticipated effects of ivermectin compared with no ivermectin?	1.00	6.00	5.75	0.81	0.66	165

#	Field	Choice	Count
1	Don't know	1.21%	2
2	Varies	1.21%	2
3	Trivial	1.21%	2
4	Small	0.61%	1
5	Moderate	9.09%	15
6	Large	86.67%	143
			165

Showing rows 1 - 7 of 7

Q3 - How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects of ivermectin compared with no ivermectin?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How substantial are the undesirable anticipated effects of ivermectin compared with no ivermectin?	1.00	6.00	3.57	1.05	1.10	166

#	Field	Choice	Count
1	Don't know	2.41%	4
2	Varies	1.81%	3
3	Trivial	56.02%	93
4	Small	26.51%	44
5	Moderate	3.01%	5
6	Large	10.24%	17
			166

Showing rows 1 - 7 of 7

Q4 - In your view, what is the overall certainty of the evidence on the important outcomes associated with ivermectin?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	In your view, what is the overall certainty of the evidence on the important outcomes associated with ivermectin?	2.00	5.00	4.73	0.61	0.37	166

#	Field	Choice	Count
1	No included studies	0.00%	0
2	Very low	1.81%	3
3	Low	3.01%	5
4	Moderate	15.66%	26
5	High	79.52%	132
			166

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6


Q5 - Does the balance between desirable and undesirable effects favour ivermectin or no ivermectin?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Does the balance between desirable and undesirable effects favour ivermectin or no ivermectin?	1.00	7.00	6.78	0.79	0.63	166

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Don't know	0.60% 1
2	Varies	0.60% 1
3	Favours no ivermectin	1.20% 2
4	Probably favours no ivermectin	0.00% 0
5	Does not favour ivermectin or no ivermectin	0.60% 1
6	Probably favours ivermectin	9.64% 16
7	Favours ivermectin	87.35% 145
		166

Q6 - Is there important uncertainty about, or variability in, how much people value the main outcomes associated with ivermectin?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Is there important uncertainty about, or variability in, how much people value the main outcomes associated with ivermectin?	1.00	4.00	3.13	1.01	1.01	166

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Important uncertainty or variability	10.24% 17
2	Possibly important uncertainty or variability	14.46% 24
3	Probably no important uncertainty or variability	27.71% 46
4	No important uncertainty or variability	47.59% 79

166


Q7 - How costly are the resources required for ivermectin compared with no ivermectin?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	How costly are the resources required for ivermectin compared with no ivermectin?	1.00	7.00	6.44	1.32	1.75	166

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Don't know	1.81% 3
2	Varies	1.81% 3
3	Large costs	2.41% 4
4	Moderate costs	2.41% 4
5	Negligible costs or savings	8.43% 14
6	Moderate savings	2.41% 4
7	Large savings	80.72% 134
		166

Q8 - What is the certainty of the evidence on costs?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	What is the certainty of the evidence on costs?	1.00	5.00	4.40	1.16	1.35	166

#	Field	Choice Count
1	No included studies	6.02% 10
2	Very low	5.42% 9
3	Low	3.01% 5
4	Moderate	13.86% 23
5	High	71.69% 119
		166

Showing rows 1 - 6 of 6

Q9 - How cost-effective is ivermectin compared with no ivermectin?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
---	-------	---------	---------	------	---------------	----------	-------

1	How cost-effective is ivermectin compared with no ivermectin?	1.00	7.00	6.74	0.90	0.82	166
---	---	------	------	------	------	------	-----

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Don't know	1.20% 2
2	Varies	0.60% 1
3	Favours no ivermectin	0.60% 1
4	Probably favours no ivermectin	1.20% 2
5	Does not favour ivermectin or no ivermectin	0.00% 0
6	Probably favours ivermectin	9.64% 16
7	Favours ivermectin	86.75% 144
		166

Q10 - What would be the impact of ivermectin on equity?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	What would be the impact of ivermectin on equity?	1.00	7.00	5.63	2.23	4.96	166

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Don't know	15.66% 26
2	Varies	1.20% 2
3	Reduced	4.22% 7
4	Probably reduced	0.00% 0
5	Probably no impact	0.60% 1
6	Probably increased	18.67% 31
7	Increased	59.64% 99
		166

Showing rows 1 - 8 of 8

Q11 - Would ivermectin be acceptable to health professionals, patients, families and other stakeholders?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Would ivermectin be acceptable to health professionals, patients, families and other stakeholders?	1.00	6.00	5.52	0.99	0.97	166

#	Field	Choice	Count
1	Don't know	1.20%	2
2	Varies	3.01%	5
3	No	1.20%	2
4	Probably No	2.41%	4
5	Probably Yes	21.69%	36
6	Yes	70.48%	117
			166

Showing rows 1 - 7 of 7

Q12 - Would ivermectin be feasible to implement?




#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Would ivermectin be feasible to implement?	2.00	6.00	5.80	0.58	0.34	166

#	Field	Choice Count
1	Don't know	0.00% 0
2	Varies	1.20% 2
3	No	0.60% 1
4	Probably No	0.00% 0
5	Probably Yes	13.25% 22
6	Yes	84.94% 141

166

Q13 - Based on the evidence presented, do you think ivermectin should be recommended?



#	Field	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std Deviation	Variance	Count
1	Based on the evidence presented, do you think ivermectin should be recommended?	1.00	5.00	4.82	0.67	0.45	166

#	Field	Choice	Count
1	We do not recommend the intervention	1.20%	2
2	We recommend considering the intervention only -in specific contexts	1.20%	2
3	We recommend considering the intervention only -with targeted monitoring and evaluation	4.22%	7
4	We recommend considering the intervention only -in the context of rigorous research	1.20%	2
5	We recommend the intervention	92.17%	153
			166

Q14 - Please leave any comments you may have (max 250 characters)

Please leave any comments you may have (max 250 characters)

Thanks for the research. It does raise the question why the use of Ivermectin and vitamin D has not been recommended by government as a prophylactic, mild or severe case treatment that would have saved the lives of thousands of people.

BIRD Panel member at 20/2/21 meeting

Already used successfully at first symptom many cases. Nasopharyngeal clear in a day on first dose itself, if used early.

Ivermectin should be prescribed by competent NHS doctors now to save lives and reduce transmission. The benefits clearly outweigh the harms.

No pharmaceutical is interested in Ivermectin. That is why there is an uphill battle with bureaucrats who think "bad results are unreliable" means "bad results. mean I, is bad".

It was harder & more expensive to get this life saving medication than illegal recreational drugs

Have read all studies on Ivermectin, yes the meta also, good work. Used self since beginning of nov, given ~500 treatments to individuals (not officially), majority as prophylactic but treatment a few. So, not a doubt the use of IVM should start ASAP

I have read a lot of the literature on ivermectin. In total the evidence supports the widespread use of ivermectin, especially for prophylaxis. The public health and political leaders are acting unethically.

I work closely with patients in physical therapy in the United States. I had to seek out on my own an MD that would write me a script. The US medical system appears very reluctant due to pressures from Pharma/AMA

On the ground dedicated clinician experience of using Ivermectin is more convincing than many RCTs that just end up being a costly paper chase.

There is no time to lose!

History will salute you all.

The reported benefits of Ivermectin, from both health and an economic point of view, are very significant. The reported negatives of Ivermectin, after 40 years of use, are very, very minimal. The potential benefits outweigh any disadvantages.

Thanks and greetings from Switzerland.

I have three auto immune diseases + a homozygous pair of mutated genes CYP2D6*4 requires for metabolism of certain drugs. Is Ivermectin suitable for someone like me? Ie can I metabolize it properly!

I urge the government to review these findings and act to implement ivermectin and save lives

IVM works. It is used here in Mexico now after results in the whole state of Chiapas trial. It saved many of my family including my 95yr papa and 84yr Mama in laws. The addition of Vit D/C and Zinc also helps and Doxi to block chest infection.

We use Ivermectin since 03/2020 and stay together this fight for life against COVID 19 using IVMT and together you all GOD BLESS YOU...

The evidence is compelling and immediate action is needed.

Please leave any comments you may have (max 250 characters)

The costs are low, as are the risks, and the potential benefits are large!

Q 6 is problematic. The answer depends on how well educated people are about ivermectin vs alternatives. Given competing motives and narratives, that process moves more slowly than it should.

Implementation is unknown. In NZ it is prescription only. The media need to help push this. Good luck

Unethical not to offer it to whole populations

Evidence is sufficient to recommend immediately the use of ivermectin, profilactic, early mild and severe. B/r for critically ill uncertain. Worth considering combinaison therapy.

Given all research available the suppression of Ivermectin by govts and agencies is next criminal and should be investigated thoroughly for conflicts of interest by these parties.

As a health care worker I do not understand why this has not be approved.

Ivermectin should have been promoted as prevention and treatment of COVID-19 since a long time, it's a life saver

Good job getting the word out. Thank you

This should be implanted a.s.a.p ,as a protocol for all general practitioners and doctors from when they go for a COVID test and be given on the first signs of any symptoms ,why it's not been talked about in mainstream media has to be reviewed

The main uncertainties are the best administration protocols for different stages of COVID-19. Ivermectin is extremely safe. (People taking Warfarin must be monitored.)

I use Ivermectin in the UK, seen it improve oxygen saturation from 83% to 97% on air in 1 week, I've been taking it since November 2020, I've worked in Covid clinics, seen people in their homes and care homes, out of hours clinics with COVID-19.

The vermin in the (N)azi(H)omicide(S)ervice and in wastemonster/whitehell should be made aware that they will be held accountable for ignoring proven treatments and will have charges of mass murder and crimes against humanity filed against them.

Wife caught virus. I was taking ivermectin and she wasn't. The punch line! Who wants to give back all the money, grants, etc. Jab Jab Jab!

Time is wasting, people are dying, ivermectin cannot hurt and almost always helps. Get it to the people!

We are witnessing a moral failing of the highest order in our health care 'authorities'

Evidence of benefit vs. risk is so overwhelming that it is criminal NOT to recommend ivermectin.

Taken as a whole the promising evidence that includes in vitro, in vivo, observational studies, randomized controlled trials, and epidemiological evidence weighed against the low risk profile IVM should be widely adopted.

Considering the overwhelming evidence available, ivermectin should be implemented as soon as possible, on a large scale.

The issue is less to gather enough evidence to obtain the WHO recommendation, this evidence is available through your review of studies, but to mitigate the risk of a shortage after this recommendation. Will global production and stocks be sufficien

Please leave any comments you may have (max 250 characters)

I trust Ivermectin is recommended for emergency use of Covid 19 soon. This question was confusing. Is there important uncertainty about, or variability in, how much people value the main outcomes associated with ivermectin?

Singing the happy birthday song and washing hands is not a viable outpatient strategy. The pandemic will never end if we continue to allow the virus to replicate uncontrolled and spread within households. Early treatment key!!

None of the evidence produced is significant or favours the implimentation of Ivermectin.

Ivermectin as a prophylaxis and treatment should be implemented immediately

Research for its safety use as an anti parasitic drug and use across Africa for decades verifies it's safety for humans by prescription and off label treatment , further research on dosage yes but save lives now

Please give to GPs

Your zoom conf has already been taken down. Is there any way to put it up in another platform?

Recommend ivermectin

Ivermectin should be implemented immediately

C19Study.com / Covexit.com has much information as well.

The dominant mono culture of lock down until vaccination pervading in developed economies is beyond rationality.

I am a Brazilian Medical Doctor and Ivermectin is being used a lot for profilaxia, treatment of phase I (girl), phase 2A and Aldo for long Covid.

The current NIH guideline of no treatment for COVID-19 is nonsense.

Please recommend Ivermectin . Save lives!

DOSE IS CRITICAL TO HAVE SUCCESS. 0.2 MG X KG SINGLE DOSE IS A LOW DOSE THAN COULD BRING NON SIGNIFICANT RESULTS. 0.6 MG X K X DAY EVERY 14 DAYS IS A VIRICIDAL DOSE THAN COULD HAVE A MORE CONTUNDENT EFFECT.

Have it available without prescription in all pharmacies (like Bulgaria just did) to allow anyone who needs it to get it. Also, distribute to families/bubbles of anyone who tests positive for covid so that everyone can be treated for prevention

I had covid. With safety record spanning decades and 3.7 billion doses administered. As minimum everybody should have ivermectin. At first sign of infection, cough or sniffel, I would without take ivermectin. Easiest choice

It may be too early to say, but I think ivermectin deserves another Nobel Prize. However, I personally think that the one who deserves it next time is Dr. Paul Malick and his colleagues, who saw the effectiveness of the drug from the data and did the

Real world evidence of the effectiveness is overwhelming

Multiple positive outcomes from multiple study designs from multiple countries. Stand back and look. The evidence is clear. Ivermectin will help save lives and bring hope. Also in places where vaccines will take long to arrive.

ivermectin is the ONLY way to health&safety for EVERYONE

Please leave any comments you may have (max 250 characters)

ivermectin intervention complements C19vaccines + provides a safety net against constantly varying risk of C19variant breakout, especially when used in combination Zn, VitD, VitC & doxyclyne. Studies with arms for traditional medicines not performed

This med is needed ASAP for the sake of humanity and an end to cruel unnecessary inhumane treatments and lockdowns.

I prescribe it for covid and covid exposure

Quebec doctors should study Ivermectin!

L'ivermectine doit etre prise en prophylaxie, prévention en plus des campagnes de vaccination

there is danger of people using animal ivermectin if human is not available by their doctor

I would rather we try Ivermectin than not offer people any (other) treatment at all

INDE <https://i.postimg.cc/2jPJ7BzD/COMPARAISON-INDE-FRANCE-2-FEB21.png> ZIMBABWE <https://i.postimg.cc/W4vTWHtS/COMPARAISON-ZIMBADWE-FRANCE.png> PEROU <https://i.postimg.cc/d0Nx5H83/COMPARAISON-PEROU-FRANCE-2-FEV21-1.png> AFRIQUE DU SUD <https://postimg.c>

I believe the decision to give ivermectin should be made with an understanding of the limited available evidence. I dont think its a magic bullet for COVID-19 treatment

The evidence is clear/ irrefutable. Medical professionals in France & Italy have taken their governments to court to use ivermectin. UK Medical professionals need to be FAR more vocal/proactive. To stay silent do & nothing equates to death for many

Based on the evidence available and mode of action of ivermectin, it should be recommended for treatment and prevention

if it saves hospital admissions from care homes or homes and shortens hospital stays and reduces long term damage no brainer use it plus allows hospitals to open services for non covid patients saving more than just covid lives.

The difficulty is in overcoming objections from those in power with self interests related to vaccines. And in disseminating evidence to the public

The intervention can be justified on a "value for money for the taxpayer" basis, as very economical. Regulators should be scrutinised as to their independence from companies with vested interests in other products.

Planning needs to take place now and not wait for further evidence. The cost would be minimal.

Have noted many positives for the use of IVM from all corners of the globe and its results. Stands to reason IVM is a MUST...

Has refusal/neglect to treat Covid19 patients with Ivermectin become now a gross neglect & human rights legal matter?

The need for large DBRCTs will be obsolete as other studies come to light. If regulators require these studies as absolute proof we will wait. But the body of evidence currently is such that there is evidence that ivm does same lives and prevents dis

The biggest challenge exists beyond the Data. Ivermectin has to reach main stream media and that route is blocked by corrupt officials

Wake up UK, Ivermectin will have a huge bearing on the impact of Covid-19. We need it NOW

The fact that it significantly lowers the chances of one getting Covid, as well as lowering the death rate, that in itself is reason enough for this to be used.

Please leave any comments you may have (max 250 characters)

I'm a pharmacist and health economist and the questions on the costs-effectiveness cannot be answered with existing evidence through an economic evaluation

Travesty this has not been started sooner in the West.

End of Report